





CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" (37 CFR 1.10)			Docket No. 13768.189
Applicant(s): Donald J. Kadyk, et al.			
Serial No. 09/838,408	Filing Date April 19, 2001	Confirmation No. 1457	Examiner Kenneth R. Coulter
Invention: METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR AUTHENTICATION THROUGH MULTIPLE PROXY SERVERS THAT REQUIRE DIFFERENT AUTHENTICATION DATA			
<p>I hereby certify that the Transmittal Letter and Comments on Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance (2 pages) (in triplicate); PTOL-85B Issue Fee Transmittal; PTO Form 2038 in the amount of \$1,685.00; and postcard are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on <u>October 22</u>, 2004.</p>			
<p>Kendell Steele <hr/>(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence) <hr/>(Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)</p>			
<p>EV 384067047 US <hr/>("Express Mail" Mailing Label Number)</p>			
KCS000003514V001			

RICK D. NYDEGGER  
BRENT P. LORIMER  
THOMAS R. VUKSINICK  
LARRY R. LAYCOCK  
JONATHAN W. RICHARDS  
DAVID R. WRIGHT  
JOHN C. STRINGHAM  
JOHN M. GUYNN  
CHARLES L. ROBERTS  
DANA L. TANGREN  
ERIC L. MASCHOFF  
CHARLES J. NEVERKA  
ROBYN L. PHILLIPS  
RICHARD C. GILMORE \*  
STERLING A. BRENNAN  
R. BURNS ISRAELSEN  
DAVID R. TODD  
GREGORY M. TAYLOR  
DAVID B. DELLENBACH  
L. DAVID GRIFFIN  
ADRIAN J. LEE  
FRASER D. ROY  
CARL T. REED



R. PARRISH FREEMAN, JR.  
PETER F. MALEN, JR.  
L. REX SEARS, PH.D.  
WILLIAM R. RICHTER  
ERIC M. KAMERATH  
ROBERT E. AYCOCK  
JENS C. JENKINS  
KEVIN W. STINGER  
WILLIAM J. ATHAY  
MICHAEL B. DODD  
RYAN D. BENSON  
SARA D. JONES  
TIGE KELLER  
JANNA L. JENSEN  
MATTHEW D. TODD  
J. LAVAR OLDHAM  
MICHAEL J. FRODSHAM  
JOSEPH L. KRUPA  
BRETT A. HANSEN  
BRETT I. JOHNSON  
MATTHEW A. BARLOW  
WESLEY C. ROSANDER  
ANDREW S. HANSEN  
CHAD E. NYDEGGER  
JOSEPH G. PIA  
CLINTON E. DUKE  
DAVID J. LORENZ †  
RYAN N. FARR \*  
JAMES B. BELSHE  
ALISON B. MOHR

VERNON R. RICE \$  
OF COUNSEL



# WORKMAN | NYDEGGER

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS

1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER  
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE  
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111  
TELEPHONE: (801) 533-9800  
FAX: (801) 328-1707  
WEBSITE: [HTTP://WWW.WNLAW.COM](http://www.wnlaw.com)

Express Mail Label No.: EV 384067047US

PATENT APPLICATION  
Docket No. 13768.189

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Donald J. Kadyk, et al. )  
Serial No.: 09/838,408 ) Art Unit  
Filed: April 19, 2001 ) 2141  
Confirmation No.: 1457 )  
For: METHODS AND SYSTEMS )  
FOR AUTHENTICATION )  
THROUGH MULTIPLE PROXY )  
SERVERS THAT REQUIRE )  
DIFFERENT AUTHENTICATION )  
DATA )  
Examiner: Kenneth R. Coulter )

## TRANSMITTAL OF ISSUE FEE PAYMENT

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The enclosed Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee due is submitted herewith pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.67 and M.P.E.P 603.01 for filing in the matter of the United States patent application as hereinabove identified. Enclosed is PTO Form 2038 for \$1,685.00 for payment of the issue fee.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 23-3178 of the undersigned. Triplicate copies of this sheet are enclosed.


Please address all future correspondence in connection with the above-identified patent application to the attention of the undersigned.

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention as set forth in each of the independent claims and the dependent claims must be read as a whole, and not as a single feature or subcombination of features which represent less than the entirety of the claimed invention as a whole. While a particular feature or subcombination of features referred to by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance may represent a basis for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art, Applicants further submit that this may not necessarily be the *sole* ground for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art of record. Accordingly, the Examiner's statement should, in Applicants' view, not be read as constituting or meaning that the invention can or should be reduced to a single "feature" of the invention or to a subcombination of features that is less than the entire invention claimed as a whole, nor that the single feature referenced by the Examiner or subcombination of features referenced by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance is the only or sole grounds for distinguishing the invention over the prior art of record.

Dated this 22 day of October, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

  
RICK D. NYDEGGER  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 28,651  
Customer No. 022913

RDN:kcs  
KCS0000003513V001